ractitioner's	Docket	No	7604
. actitioner 3	DOCKEL	110.	

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: DAIGRE, R.

Application No.: 10 / 058,183

Group No.:

3683

Filed: JAN. 26, 2002

Examiner: KING, B.T.

For: MECHANICALLY APPLIED/HYDRAULICALLY RELEASED BRAKE

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL

WARNING: Failure to file a complete response in compliance with § 1.135(c) leads to a reduction in patent term adjustment - See § 1.704(c)(7).

1. Transmitted herewith is an amendment for this application.

STATUS

2.	Applic	cant	is		
	X X X	a s	mall entity. A statement:		
			is attached.		
	2	ХХХ	was already filed.		
		oth	er than a small entity.		
			(When using Express Mail, the Express Mail	ER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10* e Express Mail label number is mandatory; il certification is optional.)	
I he	reby cert	ify tha	at, on the date shown below, t	•	
				MAILING	
XXX	deposite for Pater	d with 1ts, W	n the United States Postal Sen Vashington, D.C. 20231	vice in an envelope addressed to the Assistant Commission	ner
		37	C.F.R. § 1.8(a)	37 C.F.R. § 1.10 *	
XXXX	with suff	icient	postage as first class mail.	☐ as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Mailing Label No (mandate	ry)
			TF	RANSMISSION	
	facsimile	trans	mitted to the Patent and Trade	emark Office, (703)	
				William UGHTSON	
Date	: JUN	IE 9	<u>,</u> 2005	WILLIAM S. LIGHTBODY	
				(type or print name of person certifying)	
• 0-	1. 45		Cr. (0.1.0)		

(Amendment Transmittal [9-19]-page 1 of 4)

06/13/2005 ZJUHAR1 00000045 10058183

01 FC:2251

60.00 OP

Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

EXTENSION OF TERM

NOTE: "Extension of Time in Patent Cases (Supplement Amendments) — If a timely and complete response has been filed after a Non-Final Office Action, an extension of time is not required to permit filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period.

If a timely response has been filed after a Final Office Action, an extension of time is required to permit filing and/or entry of a Notice of Appeal or filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period unless the timely-filed response placed the application in condition for allowance. Of course, if a Notice of Appeal has been filed within the shortened statutory period, the period has ceased to run." Notice of December 10, 1985 (1061 O.G. 34-35).

NOTE: See 37 C.F.R. § 1.645 for extensions of time in interference proceedings, and 37 C.F.R. § 1.550(c) for extensions of time in reexamination proceedings.

NOTE: 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(b) ". . .an applicant shall be deemed to have failed to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude processing or examination of an application for the cumulative total of any periods of time in excess of three months that are taken to reply to any notice or action by the Office making any rejection, objection, argument, or other request, measuring such three-month period from the date the notice or action was mailed or given to the applicant, in which case the period of adjustment set forth in § 1.703 shall be reduced by the number of days, if any, beginning on the day after the date that is three months after the date of mailing or transmission of the Office communication notifying the applicant of the rejection, objection, argument, or other request and ending on the date the reply was filed. The period, or shortened statutory period, for reply that is set in the Office action or notice has no effect on the three-month period set forth in this paragraph."

3. The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 apply.

(complete (a) or (b), as applicable)

(a) XXX Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 (fees: 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a)(1)-(4) for the total number of months checked below:

Extension (months)	Fee for other than small entity	Fee for small entity		
xxx one month two months three months four months	\$ 110.00 \$ 400.00 \$ 920.00 \$ 1,440.00	\$ 55.00 \$ 200.00 \$ 460.00 \$ 720.00		

Fee: \$ 60.00

If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.

(check and complete the next item, if applicable)

An extension for months has already paid therefor of \$ is deducted from the total months of extension now requested.	beer Il fee	secured. The fed due for the total	
Extension fee due with this request	\$	60.00	
OR			

(b)
Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this is a conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition for extension of time.

(Amendment Transmittal [9-19]-page 2 of 4)

FEE FOR CLAIMS

		(Col. 1)			(Col. 2)	(C	ol. 3)	SMAL	L EI	VTITY			THAN A ENTITY
		CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER MENDMENT		PF	GHEST NO REVIOUSLY PAID FOR		ESENT (TRA	RATE	A	.DDIT. FEE	OR	RATE	ADDIT. FEE
TOTAL	. •	32	MINUS	••	34	=	0	x\$9 =	\$	0		x\$18=	\$
INDEP	. •	9	MINUS	•••	9	=	0	x\$42=	\$	0		x\$84 =	\$
☐ FIR:	ST PR	RESENTATIO	N OF MUL	TIPLE	DEP. CLAIN	⁄I		+ \$140=	\$			+ \$280 =	\$
		e entry in Col						TOTAL DIT. FEE	\$	00	OR	TOTAL ADDIT. FEE \$	
	RNIN		al rejection o requiremen	or act t of f	tion (§ 1.113) form which h olete (c) oi	amenas bee	dments en made as ap	may be r e." 37 C.	nad F.R.	e canc	-		
						OR							
(d)		Total add	litional fe	e fo	r claims re	equire	ed \$_	<u></u>		,a.	·		
					FEE P	AYM	ENT						
	Aut	to Credit form PTC :: Credit car arge any ac nner autho	is hereby it Accour card as 0-2038. Id informati dditional rized abo	y mant No show fon sh fees ove.	ade to cha own on the nould not be required I	attac	he am	nount of	f\$	infor	matio becom	ne public.	
	Ac	luplicate of	this par	oer i	s attached	l .							
							//	mandma	3+ T	ranami	Hal FO	101 .000	ne 3 of 4)

FEE DEFICIENCY

NOTE: If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in returning the papers to the PTO Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, (1065 O.G. 31-33).

	and/or	fee is	required,	charge	Account
	If any additional extension No. 12-1347				If any additional extension and/or fee is required, charge No12-1347

X	If any	additional fe	e for	claims	is	required,	charge	Account
		12-1347				•	J	

Reg. No.: 29,557

Tel. No.: (216) 621-7337

Customer No.:

. . .

SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

WILLIAM S. LIGHTBODY

(type or print name of practitioner)

LIGHTBODY LAW OFFICE

P.O. Address

32600 FAIRMOUNT BLVD., STE. 100

PEPPER PIKE, OHIO 44124

(Amendment Transmittal [9-19]—page 4 of 4)



Applicant: Daigre, R. Examiner: King, B.T.

Serial No: 10/058,183 Art Unit: 3683 File Date: January 26, 2002 Our File: 7604

Invention: MECHANICALLY APPLIED/HYDRAULICALLY RELEASED BRAKE

June 9, 2005

LIGHTBODY LAW OFFICE 32600 Fairmount Boulevard Atrium Suite 100 Pepper Pike, Ohio 44124 (216) 621-7337 Phone (216) 621-7393 FAX

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Mail Stop Amendment Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT

Dear Commissioner:

The USPTO issued on office action dated February 9, 2005 in respect to the above entitled application. Applicant responds as follows:

In the claims: